



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/604,470	07/23/2003	Larry Buenz	2027 1469 EXAMINER	
31424 75	590 02/24/2005			
BABCOCK IP LLC 24154 LAKESIDE DRIVE LAKE ZURICH, IL 60047			TA, THO DAC	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2833	
		DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

c
K

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/604,470	BUENZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tho D. Ta	2833				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 No	ovember 2004.	•				
· — · ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-26</u> is/are objected to.		•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	···					

Application/Control Number: 10/604,470

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Locati et al. (5,651,698) in view of Rath (5,302,067).

Locati et al. discloses a coaxial connector, comprising : a connector body 40 connected to a rear clamp nut 10; wherein the connector body 40 and the rear clamp nut 10 are connected via a plurality of single threads.

However, Locati et al. does not disclose that the threads are interleaved concentric threads for advancing the rear clamp nut 10 twice as far per revolution as a single thread.

Rath discloses the multiple interleaved concentric threads in fig. 1, column 2, lines 31-43, for advancing the fastener twice as far per revolution as a single thread.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Locati et al. invention by constructing the threaded portion as disclosed by Rath in order to reduce assembling time.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 19 and 20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,470

Art Unit: 2833

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 3

- 4. Claims 1-18 are allowed.
- 5. Claims 21-26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: In regard to claims 1, 21, the prior art fails to provide, teach or suggest the cutting edge at the second inner diameter operating to cut and separate the sheath from the outer conductor as the cable is inserted into the bore and rotated. In regard to claims 10, 22, the prior art fails to provide, teach or suggest the complementary protrusions of the first ring and the second ring interact whereby the connector body is coupled to the inner coupling sleeve during rotation of the connector body via application of a torque below a threshold level.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tho D. Ta whose telephone number is (571) 272-2014. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext 33. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2833

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> THO D. TA **PRIMARY EXAMINER**

Wodarti